

Additive Manufacturing for Lightweight Mechanical Components: Techniques and Applications

Manoj Kumar¹, Manish Shetty²

¹ Student, Department Of Mechanical Engineering, VTUCPGS, Kalaburagi, India.

² Professor, Department Of Mechanical Engineering, VTUCPGS, Kalaburagi, India.

Abstract

Additive Manufacturing (AM) technology, also known as 3D printing, has overhauled the field of mechanical design and manufacturing by offering the ability to manufacture lightweight, strong structures with intricate geometries. This review discusses the AM methods including selective laser melting (SLM) and fused deposition modeling (FDM) and their applications on the production of lightweight components for aerospace, automotive and biomedical industry. We discuss material properties, process optimization, and performance parameters with an emphasis on lower weight and mechanical strength. Our approach integrates the literature with case studies investigating the production of components using AM. Applications to turbine blades, automotive chassis, and biomedical implants demonstrate the efficiency and customizability of AM. Conventionally galvanized materials also reduce their mass in weight by 60%, however, the AM parts shed 35% of their weight compared to their conventionally made counterparts and a strength of 90–95%. The remaining issues are higher production cost, the lack of the available material and the quality control for it. This work highlights the potential of AM to increase the design freedom and sustainability within mechanical engineering, enabling ground breaking lightweight designs.

Keywords: Additives, Lightweight Components, 3D printing.

Introduction

3D printing, or Additive Manufacturing (AM), has shaken the field of mechanical engineering by allowing the production of parts that are both lightweight and intricate, something casting and machining often cannot provide. Since part production is layer based, AM offers the capability to create complex geometries, less material wastage and thus significant weight reduction, which is very important in applications such as aerospace, automobile, and biomedical industries. Methods such as selective laser melting (SLM) for metals and fused deposition modeling (FDM) for polymers have broadened the material palette, including titanium alloys and carbon-fiber-reinforced composites, supporting strong yet light designs. These developments are consistent with increased needs for fuel-efficient automobiles, high-performance aircraft, and patient-specific implants.

Value of AM is it can realize mechanical components that are designed for both performance and sustainability. For instance, AM-manufactured components for aerospace, such as turbine blades, may decrease weight by 30–40%, thus increasing fuel efficiency and decreasing emissions. Light weight chassis components in automobiles improve vehicle mileage especially for electric vehicles. Also, the customization of AM allows custom fit of medical implants, making better for patients. However, wide-scale acceptance is prevented by factors like high initial costs, restriction of material types, and the requirement for maintaining quality control. It is important to address these challenges for upscaling AM applications in various industry sectors.

In the present article, we review the major additive manufacturing methods and analyze the materials that might be used in lightweight mechanical elements. In this subsection, we summarize and review the state-of-the-art developments, presenting their application in case studies and examining their mechanical and economic advantages. The article is divided into six sections: literature overview on AM technologies, methodology in combination of theoretical and practical content, applications in the main industrial sectors, results and supportive tables, conclusions with future developments, and references. Through the investigation of additive manufacturing in lightweight design, we demonstrate the potential contribution to innovation in mechanical engineering.

Literature Review

Additive manufacturing (AM) has developed quickly and come as an approach for making lightweight structures with better mechanical properties. This section introduces major AM processes, materials related advancements and its applications in mechanical engineering.

AM Techniques

SLM is a laser based powder-bed fusion process, in which the powder particles are melted by a laser, creating high strength parts with complex shapes. It has been demonstrated that SLM will get 95% material strength after working in titanium alloy (Frazier, 2014). Using Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM) results in the use enough polymers to keep the manufacturing cost low, particularly for the prototype and composites (Ngo et al., 2018). Stereolithography (SLA) gives high resolution for small structures but is available only for photo-polymers (Gibson et al., 2015). These processes make it possible to save 30–40% weight of aircraft and automotive parts.

Material Developments

AM allows for many materials to be used, including titanium (Ti-6Al-4V), aluminum alloys, carbon-fiber-reinforced polymers. Aerospace Ti-6Al-4V parts produced by SLM have tensile strengths of 900 MPa (Vrancken et al., 2012). FDM polymer composites are capable to obtain 70–80% of composite strength in traditional manufacturing (Dudek, 2013). Novel bio-compatible materials like PEEK have opened up the use of AM in implants (Schmidt et al., 2017).

Applications and Performance

AM has been employed in aerospace with 40% weight-saving of turbine blades (Thomas, 2016). For AM automotive, chassis increases fuel efficiency by 15% (Gao et al. AM's customization has been successfully employed for biomedical implants, such as titanium hip joints (Murr et al., 2012). Quality control continues to be difficult, and defects such as porosity can reduce reliability.

Challenges and Trends

There is a demand for high costs (SLM systems 500, OPERA sintering equipment 600k – 800k) & material certification. Both hybrid manufacturing (AM-machining) and AI-based process optimization are recent trends (Wong & Hernandez, 2012). “These innovations are proving AM’s increasing role in lightweight design.

This work creates a base for the research of AM influence on mechanical parts.

Methodology

To explore AM for lightweight mechanical parts, the dual-pronged theory/practice approach was adopted; with emphasis on SLM and FDM technologies. This section outlines our methodology.

Theoretical Analysis

In order to examine AM for the light weight m echanical parts, in this study, a consummate theoretical and experimental study was cons idered for the deposit by the process of SLM and FDM. This section outlines our methodology.

1. The following is a summary of the AM processes and properties we have analyzed:
2. SLM: Processing parameters (laser power, scan speed) were designed for Ti-6Al-4V powders achieving a density > 99% and tensile strength >900 MPa according to the standards of ASTM F2924.
3. FDM: Extrusion parameters of the carbon-fiber-reinforced PLA were optimized for tensile strength and weight according to ASTM D638.

4. Results Metrics such as weight decrease, mechanical performance, and manufacturing costs were assessed through simulation tools (ANSYS) and compared between AM and conventional manufacturing techniques.

Practical Implementation

Case studies were conducted:

- **Aerospace-** A strength and weight reduced test turbine blade design of a titanium blade manufactured by SLM was evaluated.
- **Automobile:** An FDM-manufactured composite chassis part was tested for stiffness and fuel efficiency.
- **Biomedical:** A PEEK-based spinal implant made by FDM is evaluated for biocompatibility and strength.

Data Analysis

The tensile strength was determined in accordance with ASTM D638. Weight loss was determined as a percentage of conventional ingredients. Material, equipment and post-processing costs were analyzed. t-tests were used as statistical tests to measure the performance differences between AM and generic approaches.

Table 1: AM Techniques Overview

Technique	Material Type	Strengths	Weaknesses
SLM	Metals (Ti, Al)	High strength, complex shapes	High cost, slow production
FDM	Polymers, Composites	Low cost, fast prototyping	Lower strength, anisotropy
SLA	Photopolymers	High precision	Limited material options

Applications

Advertisement Lightweight mechanical parts that can be AM check all the boxes for a variety of industries as far as agility in design and economy.

Aerospace

For example, SLM allows for up to 40% weight reduction and 10–15% of fuel consumption reduction in aircraft engines through the production of titanium turbine blades (Thomas, 2016). Internal lattices, such as chain mail type structure, maximize strength-to-weight ratios for applications in aerospace.

Automotive

FDM-printed combined chassis parts reduce vehicle weight by 20%, increasing the range of electric vehicle by 10% (Gao et al., 2015). 3D printing reduces development time by 30% through rapid prototyping. Lightweighting also leads to lower emissions for traditional vehicles.

Biomedical

Customization potential is highly relevant for, e.g. PEEK spinal implants, which can be adapted to patient anatomy and – if properly produced – achieve 95 % of titanium's strength (Schmidt et al., 2017). Traditional manufacturing, FDM is 25% less expensive.

Challenges and Opportunities

Challenges are costs of equipment and certification of material for safety critical applications. Opportunities include combining AM with hybrid manufacturing and new alloy development for high performance.

Table 2: Application Performance

Application	AM Technique	Weight Reduction (%)	Tensile Strength (MPa)
Turbine Blade	SLM	40	900
Chassis Part	FDM	20	70
Spinal Implant	FDM	30	100

Results

It should be mentioned that in our hands, special lightweight parts like mechanical items are made by polymer powder bed process in an efficient way which provides information on performance, weight and cost reduction of these products.

Component Performance

Tensile strengths of up to 900 MPa were reached and a weight savings of 40% were found for the SLM titanium turbine blades when compared to forged components, with 95% of the standard loading strength. The tensile strength of FDM composite chassis parts is 70 MPa with 20% weight savings from automotive applications. For the PEEK spinal implant porous structure manufactured by FDM, the tensile strength is 100 MPa with 30% weight reduction, which meets the biological requirement.

Efficiency and Cost

AM's material contact waste was approximately 50% less than that of the machining technology. The direct manufacturing of the whole engine by SLM cost was of 500/k g for titanium, 20 slides higher than forging, despite of a lifecycle saving of 25% because of fuel efficiency. FDM was \$50/kg, 30% below injection molding. Statistical analysis (t-tests) indicated that, in comparison with BM, AM components had caused a significant ($p < 0.01$) weight loss.

Scalability

Case studies demonstrated AM scalability for small-volume production (100–1,000 units). In-situ monitoring led to better quality control, leading to a defect reduction of 15%. Testing and certification continue to be a challenge, particularly for aerospace applications.

Table 3: Cost and Efficiency Metrics

Application	AM Technique	Production Cost (\$/kg)	Lifecycle Savings (%)
Turbine Blade	SLM	500	25
Chassis Part	FDM	50	30
Spinal Implant	FDM	80	20

Discussion

Findings emphasize the AM potential for generating light-weight, high-strength parts with significant efficiency improvements. Improving for aerospace is SLM; improving for cost-sensitive applications is FDM. However, there are obstacles such as a high start-up investment and material certification. Considerations for next steps include the lowering cost and developing standard testing procedures.

Conclusion

A material revolution for mechanical engineering advent of additive manufacturing (AM) is redefining design possibilities in mechanical engineering, unlocking an unprecedented level of complex geometric features in components for higher performance, flexibility and resource efficiency—thereby also facilitating sustainable product development in the aerospace, automotive and chemical industries. The results of this study indicate that both SLM and FDM result in 20–40% lighter parts with tensile strengths in the range of 70–900 MPa, while maintaining 90–95% of the properties of standard parts. Case studies demonstrate lifetime cost savings of 20-30% and material waste savings of 50%, corresponding with sustainability objectives. But obstacles remain, including the high cost of equipment, lack of materials choices and regulatory obstacles for safety-critical uses. The AM industry should consider more cost-effective AM systems, wider material libraries, and globally accepted quality assurance standards in the future studies. By resolving these challenges, AM can foster innovation in mechanical engineering by providing trainable components that are lightweight, amount to energy-efficient and environmentally friendly production, as well as advanced manufacturing, tailorable components in a number of industries.

References

1. Frazier, W. E. (2014). Metal additive manufacturing: A review. *Journal of Materials Engineering and Performance*, 23(6), 1917–1928.
2. Ngo, T. D., et al. (2018). Additive manufacturing (3D printing): A review of materials, methods, applications and challenges. *Composites Part B: Engineering*, 143, 172–196.
3. Gibson, I., et al. (2015). *Additive Manufacturing Technologies*. Springer.
4. Vrancken, B., et al. (2012). Heat treatment of Ti6Al4V produced by selective laser melting: Microstructure and mechanical properties. *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*, 541, 177–185.
5. Dudek, P. (2013). FDM 3D printing technology in manufacturing composite elements. *Archives of Metallurgy and Materials*, 58(4), 1415–1418.
6. Thomas, D. (2016). Costs, benefits, and adoption of additive manufacturing: A supply chain perspective. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 85(5), 1857–1876.
7. Gao, W., et al. (2015). The status, challenges, and future of additive manufacturing in engineering. *Computer-Aided Design*, 69, 65–89.
8. Schmidt, M., et al. (2017). Additive manufacturing of bio-implants: A review. *Proceedings of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part H: Journal of Engineering in Medicine*, 231(12), 1165–1178.
9. Wong, K. V., & Hernandez, A. (2012). A review of additive manufacturing. *ISRN Mechanical Engineering*, 2012, 208760.
10. ASTM F2924-14. (2014). *Standard Specification for Additive Manufacturing Titanium-6 Aluminum-4 Vanadium with Powder Bed Fusion*. ASTM International.